

Friends of the Trans Canada Trail:

1. There are public owned municipal lands that could well serve the community if opened to trail proposals. Some of these lands have been encroached upon by adjacent landowners. What action would you take to open Township properties such as vacant road allowances for community trail route and linkage proposals? Express your concerns, if any, for opening these vacant roadways for non-motorized community trails users.
2. What is the extent of your support for community trails? As part of your answer, would you support a public inventory of Township owned lands to aid our volunteers in the trail planning process? And would you welcome trail proposals on these properties?
3. How would you expand our popular community trails network? As part of your answer, would you support a re-activated Trail Advisory Committee including cyclists, walkers and equestrians from our three non-motorized user-groups?
4. The Twin Fraser Trails proposal is a new multi-level government partnership initiative of Metro Vancouver, Fraser Valley Regional District and, hopefully, our provincial government, to plan and construct, in its first stage, a loop trail on both the north and south sides of the Fraser River between Golden Ears Bridge and Abbotsford - Mission Bridge. Key to this proposal is funding our Langley taxpayer's share for our section. How would you fund our Township portion of this important proposed joint project?

Yorkson Watershed Stewardship Committee

1. Many Langley citizens would like to retrofit or renovate their properties to be more sustainable, eg. grey water systems. At this time there are many rules and regulations to stop this from happening. What could you do as Councillor to help streamline these processes to make them more accessible to community members?
2. In the Draft WMP a stated goal is to reduce groundwater consumption by 30% by 2018 (p6). The TOL goal within the same timeframe is for the population to increase by 65,000 people. Please explain what you would do and how would you accomplish the consumption goal in light of the population increase goal?
3. Stream base flows are reported to be declining by as much as 30%. Langley has many salmon bearing rivers and creeks dependent on groundwater for replenishment. What is your solution to this critical dilemma?

SRES

- 1) How would you reduce water usage by high volume users of the Hopington aquifer? Please comment as part of your answer on requiring high volume users to meter their water use.
- 2) How would you make operation of the pumphouse at the mouth of the Salmon River conform to the terms of use agreed to in 1995 and make operations more transparent to the public?
- 3) What would you do to encourage landowners to leave their land in a state that is beneficial environmentally? As part of your answer would you be in favour of incentives to plant native trees or otherwise improve retention of rainwater and infiltration of rainwater to recharge aquifers in Langley?
- 4) TOL has, in some cases spent public money to reduce flooding on properties near streams. In the last case in Aldergrove public access was obtained over the private properties. Please give us your opinion on when it makes sense to spend public money on private properties. As part of

your answer please comment on TOL obtaining access for trails and perhaps even small parks as compensation for spending public money to improve private property?

Langley Conservation Network

1. QUESTION 1: How would you mitigate massive tree clearing on both development parcels and in neighborhoods where clearing adversely affects neighbors' properties and decreases nesting bird populations?
2. QUESTION 2: Dumping fill in rural areas has damaged neighbor's properties. Although legislation is in place how would you assure that soil dumping does not negatively impact neighbors, streams, and ground water?
3. QUESTION 3: Do you believe wildlife corridors connecting to larger patches (natural space) should be in place for all current and future development? How would you achieve this? In addition, could this be coupled with mandatory wildlife surveys prior to excavation? Why/Why not?
4. QUESTION 4: Should land owners on 5 acres or more who voluntarily preserve trees to sequester carbon and other greenhouse gases receive tax breaks or other benefits? Why/why not?